- Instructions provided to respondents:
 1. Check One. If select "Change", please describe in note below.
 2. Also add new items as deemed necessary.

	Attachment B - Recap of Marked-up Handouts				
	of List of 56 ItemsTurned in by Public Particicipants at Workshop No. 3	0	D 14 O	01	
	Items to Consider	Support	Don't Support	Change	
	rvation:				
1	With ample opportunity for input from stakeholders, periodically quantify the best possible water resource mix including all	7		0	
	available supplies such as conservation, recycling, ground water and surface water taking into account levels of reliability,	7		2	
	and watershed needs and other environmental impacts.				
2	Include language that would encourage and reward efforts to implement incentive driven programs or	4	0	4	
	requirements that go beyond Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Urban Water Conservation promulgated by	4	3	1	
	the California Urban Water Conservation Council (such as "Cash for Grass, etc.).				
3	Include language that would encourage and provide funds for innovative new water conservation strategies and a	3	4	1	
	mechanism for funding successful programs.		-		
4	Include conservation requirements contained in the MOU in the new agreement (The MOU re Interim Impairment	-	0	4	
	contains a number of specific mandatory conservation requirements that go beyond the conservation	5	2	1	
_	requirements contained in the 11th Amended Agreement.).				
5	Include language that will encourage more coordination and standardization of conservation programs (such as a	_			
	"one-stop conservation program" website and offer program for contractors who choose to coordinate and	5	3		
	standardize programs.				
6	Include language that would encourage and lead to standardization of landscape efficiency requirements for new	5	2	1	
	construction.			-	
7	Create a separate fund for conservation programs.	5	2		
8	Provide conservation targets (or a schedule of targets) in the agreement and provision that they be periodically	3	4	1	
	reviewed and updated.		-		
Enviro	nmental Impacts and Mitigation:				
9	Same as Item 1.	5	1	1	
Waters	hed Management:				
10	Same as Item 1.	5	1	1	
11	Include a methodology for identifying watershed restoration costs appropriately allocable to water contractors that				
	are not or cannot be met from taxes and charges deposited in the Russian River Projects fund or from other	1	5	2	
	appropriate sources.		-		
12	As part of the negotiation process, review SCWA's plans for use of monies deposited in the Russian River Project				
	Fund and consider what voice the water contractors should have with regard to fund expenditures and what	6	2		
	agreement provisions should be considered regarding same.	-			
13	Request Sonoma County to explore ways and means of addressing regional watershed management (via the				
	Russian River Watershed Council or some other means) and, as part of the negotiation process, address water	3	4	1	
	contractors' responsibility regarding same.	-	-	-	

- Instructions provided to respondents:
 1. Check One. If select "Change", please describe in note below.
 2. Also add new items as deemed necessary.

	Items to Consider	Support	Don't Support	Change
Water Supply:				
14	Same as Item 1.	5	1	1
15	As part of the negotiation process review information on beneficial uses being made of Russian River waters and Eel River diversions.	3	3	3
16	Provide support for State of California Dept. of Water Resource and SCWA updating enumeration of all water uses being made of Russian River waters including water imported from the Eel River.	3	2	2
17	Provide support for updating Russian River model studies to calculate yield of system under various hydrologic conditions.	6	2	1
Recycle	ed Water:			
18	Same as Item 1.	4	3	1
19	Create a fund for recycled water projects.	4	3	
20	Include policy to encourage cost-effective recycling.	5	1	1
21	Include the provisions of the MOU regarding recycled water projects in the new agreement.	3	3	1
Agreen	nent Governance:			
22	Provide for non-voting participation on WAC by person(s) representing environmental and/or regional interests.	1	5	2
23	Provide for two tier WAC - a policy committee of elected officials that meets quarterly and a technical committee that meets monthly; or alternatively, provide that WAC is made up of elected officials (one appointed by each party).	3	3	2
Financi	ng and Cost Allocations:			
24	Provide for incremental issuance of debt to finance major elements of the transmission system when, as and if they become needed over the next 35 years.	3	2	2
25	Provide for issuance of debt now (and again as necessary in the future) for a sum identified as being appropriate to finance water conservation, recycled water and local standby projects (local projects that reduce peak demand on the transmission system) determined to be cost-effective.	3	5	
26	As part of the negotiation process, review how Common, Storage and Aqueduct facility costs are currently allocated and make changes if deemed appropriate by the parties.	7	1	
27	Review the methodology currently being developed by the WAC for financing and distributing costs for additional conservation, recycled water and local standby supply projects. Determine whether making more funds available for these projects up front might dictate a different method of allocating costs - for instance distribution of these costs partly on a uniform basis to all contractors to account for benefits to all contractors (joint costs) and partly on a direct surcharge basis to each of those contractors actually receiving the funds based on benefits enjoyed by each contractor (separable costs).	3	4	1
28	Include research and development expenditures as a legitimate operating cost and provide for advance approval by the WAC.	5	3	
Genera	Plan Relationships:			

- Instructions provided to respondents:
 1. Check One. If select "Change", please describe in note below.
 2. Also add new items as deemed necessary.

	Items to Consider	Support	Don't Support	Change
	Provide language that would address the need for accurate and uniformly applied forecast techniques for	0		,
	determining water required to meet general plans and provide a mechanism that does not require amendment of	6	2	1
20	the agreement to adjust a forecast if found to be in error.	4	2	4
	Include water demand for state mandated low and moderate income housing requirements.	4	3	1
	Reword and strengthen provision regarding physical restriction of deliveries to assure that entitlements are protected.	6		
Ground	·			
32	Same as Item 1.	5	1	1
33	To the extent that ground water studies undertaken by SCWA benefit parties to the agreement, that the			
	agreement provide a mechanism for identifying the appropriate portion of costs to allocate to the each benefiting	4	2	2
	party.			
	Provide that the new agreement recognize the reliable local supply capability of water contractors. (One purpose			
	for doing so is to assist in determining how water should be allocated during certain types of water supply	7		2
	shortages.)			
	Include provisions of the MOU regarding WAC approval of funding for standby local peak month production	2	4	2
	capacity projects.		-	_
	Provide for debt financing of viable local municipal wells together with equitable repayment arrangements by the	2	4	2
	benefited party. Expand definition of conservation to include programs and strategies that encourage replenishment of local			
	ground water where such replenishment could reduce demand on the Russian River.	7	1	1
	Support measurement of extractions from all large wells impacting the underflow of the Russian River or urban	_		_
	ground water basins used conjunctively with aqueduct water.	6		2
Water G	, , ,			
39	Strengthen and expand language in the agreement regarding water quality.	2	5	1
40	Add language that would make clear the expectations of water contractors regards aggressive and proactive			4
	defense of Russian River water quality.	5	3	1
41	Support study of chemicals used by agriculture and others that could represent a threat to Russian River quality.	4	2	1
	Provide for appropriate monitoring and periodic reports on water quality.	6	1	
Potter Valley Project:				
43	Same as Item 1.	4	2	2
Gravel	Mining:			

- Instructions provided to respondents:
 1. Check One. If select "Change", please describe in note below.
 2. Also add new items as deemed necessary.

	Items to Consider	Support	Don't Support	Change
44	Provide for appropriate monitoring and periodic reports on riverbed elevations at cross-sections in the vicinity of	4	2	2
	the collectors and tracking of elevations over time.	-	۷	۷
45	Provide for periodic reports on caisson capacity under critical seasonal and hydrologic conditions.	7		
Transmission Project Design and Scheduling:				
_	Same as Item 1.	6		1
47	Include a more specific schedule for planned construction of project elements in the new agreement.	6	2	
48	Add capacity triggers for planned parallel aqueduct segments to assure segments are built only if needed but ahead of such need.	5	2	
49	Provide for periodic reporting on capacity trends to assure shortfalls in capacity do not occur.	6	2	
50	Provide minimum storage requirements (based on an agreed upon factor times average day demand during the	6	0	
	peak summer month) together with debt financing and equitable repayment by benefited party.	6	2	
Basic A	greement Concepts:			
51	Review the "exception" language contained in Section 2.3 (b) regarding additions to the transmission system and	4	2	
50	make it clearer. Review the language of Section 2.4 regarding the Potter Valley Project to see if changes should be made.	4	0	4
		4	2	1
53	Include consideration of ESA impacts in the new agreement. If too much uncertainty exists at the time	_		
	negotiations come to a close, consider language that will provide a procedure or mechanism for dealing with this issue in the future.	5	3	
Better (Communications:			
54	Include language in the agreement regarding provision of information on a timely basis.	4	4	
55	Review adequacy of current reporting requirements and consider appropriate amendments.	6	2	
56	Memorialize a recent practice of SCWA - namely development of a website and posting of information.	6	2	
Change	es Recommend by Respondents and other Comments:			

Number of Public Responses: 9

- Instructions provided to respondents:
 1. Check One. If select "Change", please describe in note below.
 2. Also add new items as deemed necessary.

	Items to Consider	Support	Don't Support	Change
1	Ensure ample input (not just opportunity for input). Update every 5 years.			
2-8	Conservation programs should tailor to local conditions. Set specific conservation targets. Mandate incentives.			
11	Need comprehensive plan that looks at costs and long term environmental needs. Stop existing harmful practices	es should be first priority, then restore		
	watershed. Application of Watershed BMPs. Creation/acquisition of riparian protection zones.			
15	Include evaluation of harmful impacts to Eel River.			
16	Need information on summer water diversions in Eel also.			
17	Quantifying uses are key to best management. Can SCWA live with a 25% reduction.			
18-21	Local agencies should finance own recycled water projects. Need incentives for recycled water projects.			
22, 23	Various suggestions: WAC should be all 'electeds' (2). Should include 1 non-voting environment rep. (1). Should include one voting environment rep. (1).			
24	Need increased oversight by WAC. WAC should approve. WAC needs more control of how dollars are spent. Pay-as-you-go preferred.			
33-38	Need legal protections. Local agency matching funds and say in study scope. Regulatory policies for monitoring and testing needed. Provide for use of			
	aqueduct water in wintertime for recharge. Need yield studies. Adjudicate basin.			
39-41	Need targeted goals over time. Better regulation of septic systems and road runoff. Controls on all harmful inflows	S.		
43	Reduce take from Eel River. Must plan ahead for the eventual demise of Eel River diversion (relicensing, problem	s w. dam).		
44	If gravel elevations at intakes drop, to after mining companies. Stop all mining adjacent to River and tributaries.			
51-53	Agreement should be general and not contain a lot of detail. Local agency flexibility should be preserved.			
Other	Studies: Should be done by SCWA staff.	·	-	